G. R. S. IX—5]

Previous references
Geol 30-16 (506)

1923-24
(Geol)

File No. 94-23
Serial Nos. 1—2

Later references
X 467-25-1
Geol 32-24

preserve

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(19)

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for Aug 24

SUBJECT

Change of "local allowance" now granted to ~~offi~~ officers of the mining department into daily allowance

Jc 1534-5 of 30.8.24

To

Chief Inspector of mines.
Comptroller thro' H Secy

Sanctions the proposal &c ———

G. R. S. 11] 1924 (1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Genl)

NOTES.

File No. 9 4/23.

FILE HEADING.

Change of local allowance now granted to officers of the Geol Dept to dust allowance"

From

The Chief Inspector of Mines

No. 1609 Dated 21/4/24 Received 22/4/24

Diary No. 45.92	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

~~Recommends~~

Requests sanction of Govt to alter the local allowance into dust allowance

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Submit note, references for orders.

26.4.24

2) Financial Secy may please be consulted

SAT 1/5

3) In favour of Spl Secy

Spl Secy SAT 3/5

Fin Secy (Comptr) 6/5/24

NOTES—First Sheet.

4552

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Genl. File No. 94 of 1923

Serial No.

H. 2200-23.

4) I think the fact that these officers are stationed at the fields is an important factor in deciding the nature of the allowances given to them as it was obviously one of the considerations on which the Grant itself was based. The allowances have so long been treated as local and I do not think it now necessary to classify them as duty allces The demand for excluding duty allces in the calculation of pension may have become insistent and it may be conceded - The treatment of these allces as duty allces will add to pension charges for all these reasons it is undesirable to bring them under the category of local allces. Submitted for

Rev Secy Dte

5) Dft Personal Orders

SWR 6/6

Rev Secy 6) The report of the Ch. Insp of Mines is for orders — financial effect of pension is on recd. Local Allces on the Govt have all been abolished

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

REV. SECT
1924
MINES
BRANCH

G.R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Genl File No. 9 Cr of 19 23

Serial No.

And the allowances of the officers of the Home Dept have also been retained in view of the arduous nature of their duties. The request is that the allowances as duty allowances drawn from the Consolidated — naturally follows from the reasons for the grant. The request is made obviously to get the benefit of the amount for pension and other purposes.

189 8.6

I Member

I/C Foreign 7/6 SMG

7) When were these allowances first sanctioned? What were the grounds on which they were sanctioned — were they granted by Executive Order Local Govt or the Government of India? Have the Govt of Bombay concerned any claim to the above pays posts or ranges?

A

B

de 4/7 SMG 7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Rest File No. 94 of 19 23

Serial No.

8) Members Enemes

(A) When were these allowances first sanctioned ?

(1) Mr. R. Bocquet Chief Inspector of Mines was granted a L. A. of Rs. 150/- with effect from 1.4.06 vide G.O. No. 7l. 9025-30 S+R. 783-06-11 dt 7.6.07.

In G.O. No. G. 5041-100/Est.R. 65-18-11 dt 18.9.19 the allowance was increased by Rs. 50/- & so he gets a L. A. of Rs 200/-

(2) Mr L. H. Beckwith Inspector of Mines was granted a Fld filed allowance (Local) of Rs. 100/- in G.O. No. Genl. 2668 dt 8-6-06, which is still continuing

(3) C. E. Suryanarayana Rao now Asst: Inspector of Mines on the other duty: Mr K. Doraiswamy Acting

Confd as Mining Assistant from 1.11.1911 on a salary of Rs. 100-10-200 with a Local allowance & House rent of Rs. 50/ (G.O. No. 72 Genl. Dated 10/12/12)

* file has been destroyed (Vide reg No. 815 from the Records). Z

+ flag C

Refers: not in the G.R.S.

dead

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4592

5

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Genl File No. 94 of 1923

Serial No.

this A. A. was subsequently increased by Rs. 2-8/- & the new draws a A. A. of Rs. 7-8/

No. Genl. 7041-100 / Genl. 69-18-11 dt 18/9/19.

(4.) Mr. K. Dorasamy, Junior Inspr. of Mines:- (Now Asstt. Inspector) A A. A. of Rs. 5-0/- was granted under G.O. No. Genl 7041-100/Genl 69-18-11 dt 18.9.19 when the appointment was first created there

B. What were the grounds on which they were sanctioned — was it on the ground of expensiveness of the locality or of the arduousness of the duty of the offices concerned? Those allowances were granted on two grounds, viz

(1) To cover house-rent

(2) In consideration of unhealthiness or expensiveness of locality

(1) Genl 30-16 St. 6 P & foot-note (Mg Gr)

(2) G.O. No. Fl. 6723-72 S+A. 160-19-11 dt 11-3-20. (Pages 2, para 4 col. ii & page 8) y & w

(10) Kind attention is also invited to para 1 (b) of G.O. No. Fl. 411-60/S+A. 7-22-1 dt 18-7-22 re the reduction of these allowances Subject matter refers.

20/ 12/7/24

Rev Secy

SAO 12/7 Rs 15/

388/16-7

11) [illegible]

SAO 16/

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

12) I regret I am unable to agree with the view taken by the Financial Secretary in his note dated 4th June 1924.

13) In G.O. No. Fl. 6723-72/S. & A 160-19-1 dated 24th March 1920 issuing rules regulating the grant of duty and local allowances the allowances which were granted to certain officers of the Revenue, Judicial, Medical, P.W.D. and Mines Departments in the Kolar Gold Fields were ordered to be continued and treated as local allowance granted for 'expensiveness of - locality Subsequently on the recommendations of the Special Finance Committee, Government took the view that the cost of living in the Gold Fields was no more expensive than in other parts of the State and that there was therefore no need for the grant of local allowances to officers living in the Gold Fields on the ground of expensiveness of locality; and they accordingly directed the abolition of the local allowances which were being granted to officers of all other Departments at Gold fields except the Mining Department in respect of which alone the Government ordered the continuance of the allowance "in view of the specially arduous nature of the duties entrusted to these officers on the Fields". (Vide para 1 (6) of G.O. No. Fl.411-60/S. & A.7-22-1 dated 18th July 1922).

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

388

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Genl. File No. 94 of 1923

Serial No.

It will be thus seen that whatever might have been the original grounds for the grant of the allowances to the officers of the Mining Department, they are now continued to these officers on the ground of the arduous nature of their duties, as expressly stated in the above-mentioned order. Hence, if we are to be logical [illegible], the allowances now drawn by these officers will have to be treated as duty allowance, as represented by the Chief Inspector of Mines, as they fall under the definition of 'duty allowances' as given in the Civil Service Regulation.

(4) I would therefore support the request of the Chief Inspector of Mines for the allowance in question being treated as duty allowance instead of - local allowance.

Dewan

22/7/24.

Fe 187/24

15) Keep letter of further events in this file. Also F 734 orig. & notes by the member

22/7/24

23/7

23/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

16) What I see from the orders referred to is shifting of ~~the~~ grounds for continuing the grant of these allowances. In the order of 24th March 1920 these allowances were distinctly classified as Local Allowances and the justification urged was unhealthiness or expensiveness of locality and there was no allusion to arduousness of duty at all. As the ground urged for the grant of these allowances was unhealthiness or expensiveness of ~~the~~ locality, the Special Finance Committee challenged this reason and as their view had to be accepted, the continuance of the allowances could ~~had to~~ be justified only on the ground of arduousness of duty. This I think is the history of these allowances.

17) In the case of officers who are not liable to transfer to other departments, I do not think it can be contended that their emoluments should consist of two parts: (1) pay and (2) allowances for arduousness of duty In such a case, it is only reasonable to presume that the pay proper has been fixed taking into account the nature of the duties required ~~expected~~ of them. ~~the officer~~. It is only where an officer of one department is drafted to another and entrusted with ~~the~~ duties of ~~that office~~, that or more onerous Character

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

JC 187/24

that any occasion arises for the grant of a Duty Allowances at all. This is clearly not a case of that kind. Although the justification of arduousness of duty was urged in the order of 3rd November 1922, the nature of the allowances was not changed from Local to Duty. The Representative Assembly and the Legislative Council are very strongly opposing the grant of Duty Allowances and Government have had to offer to have the whole matter examined by the Finance & Taxation Committee. In view of all these considerations, I think it is undesirable to change the class of these allowances. from local to duty

Rev Secy)

24.7.24

SMR 24/7

(8) Rev Secy

(9) Finance

Hon'ble Minister

27/7 — 28.7

SMR 26/7

689/26

Ch. by mail

Copy

29/7

SMR 29/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
25 JUL 1924
INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE BRANCH.

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 36

1924-5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause Xii of the schedule)

Proposals for converting 'Local allowances' of officers of the Mining Department into 'Duty allowances'

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

30th July 1924.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council.

Third Member of Council.

13 4592/C36 (11

Subject. -

22) Proposals for converting 'Local Allowances' of officers of the Mining Department into 'Duty Allowances'

~~OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.~~

Forward

23) note
As the principles laid down in the K.S.R. Leaflets had practically called to this local allowances in question which were in fact ~~only to be treated as~~ duty allowances. No amendment to K.S.R. would be required as such allowances would not be affected in any way by the Leaflets
Immediately

Ken
21/8/24

24) Revenue Secretary with Compts

25) Draft

Ag/8/24

23/8

SMP 23/8

G. R. S. III-2]

WD 2310—GPP—10,000—31-5-24

General and Revenue Secretariat

Cust File No. 91 of 1923

Serial No.

241) Draft put up
26.8.24

Dft for Cus.-dual

SM 25/8

Rev Secy

Fair draft
submit to Member

Reg/8
SM
26/8

Fair draft sub.
27/8/24

SM
27/8

Rev Secy)
II Member

27.8

650
28.8

SM
27/8

Draft. appd

29.8 24/8

SM
29/8

Fair copy has been consulted vide paras 16+17 pages 8+9

Dft + fair copy this order to complete issue up.

3/9/24. Secy)

Secy
Secy
6-9-24

Note—Conton. sheet.

4

The Comptroller
No 1413 dated 8/9/24

4592 C.S.

G. R. S. [II-2]

WD 2310--GPB—10,000—31-5-24

General and Revenue Secretariat

Genl File No. 94 of 1923.

Serial No. 2

To

The Chief Inspector of Mines
in Coorg this file sent.
NOC 1584-S of 3078

Sanction to proposal made in
to local allowance
so as to state that they may
be treated as "duty allowance"
under article 14 A of M. S. R.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
15 SEP 1924
INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE
BRANCH.

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

(14 4572 Geol 94/23

D. M. E 7.] 33098 **DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND EXPLOSIVES.**

Telegraphic address;—
"MINESPECTOR."

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF INSPECTOR OF MINES IN MYSORE.

Geol 94. 23-1

No. 1607
Encl.

Dated Oorgaum 21st April 1924.
(S. India.)





FROM

R. BOCQUET, ESQUIRE, J. P.,
CHIEF INSPECTOR OF MINES IN MYSORE.

TO

K. V. Anantaraman , Esq,, B.A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to para 1 (b) of Government Order No. Fl. 411-60/ S. & A. 7-22-1 dated 18th July 1922 directing that the allowances in the case of officers of the Mining Department will not be reduced in view of the specially arduous nature of the duties entrusted to them at the Fields, and to the last paragraphs of Government Orders Nos. I.C. 2837-9/Geol. 40-22-3 and I.C. 4011/ Geol. 12-23-5 dated 1st November 1922 and 31st December 1923, reviewing the reports of the Chief Inspector of Mines in Mysore for the years 1921-22 and 1922-23, respectively, in which Government are pleased to recognise and record the efficient manner in which the officers of this Department have been carrying on their duties - becoming more and more arduous and risky with the increasing depths and exacting conditions of underground work - I have the honour to state that the present nomenclature - Local Allowance - of the allowances drawn by the officers of this Department is not appropriate, inasmuch as the main consideration for retaining the allowances is the specially arduous nature of the duties of these officers. Hence it would be more in keeping with the principles ennunciated by Government in every one of their orders, Nos. Fl. 6723-72/ S.& A. 160-19-1 dated 24th March 1920, Fl. 411-60/ S. & A. 7-22-1 dated 18th July 1922 and Fl. 2008-57/ S. & A. 7-22-9 dated 3rd November 1922 on Duty, Local and Other Allowances, to class these allowance as Duty Allowances. This alteration does not involve any extra expenditure

expenditure to Government.

I therefore request that Government may be pleased to accord early sanction to the alteration proposed as above.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. V. Vocqueck.

CHIEF INSPECTOR OF MINES

IN MYSORE.

R.S.

Fair draft (16

G.R..S VI G 34j

SUBJECT..... ....

Department may be converted into "duty allowances" as the work is becoming more & more fixty and ordinary.

Order thereon

No.

Dated Bangalore

As the "local allowances" in question have been ordered to be continued to the officers of the Department of Mines ~~and~~ vide G.O. of 18th Aug 22 ~~Aug~~ in view of the peculiar nature of their duties. Entitled to them at the same rates as they are in the nature of "duty allowances" as defined in the Fin. Regulations. Government therefore direct that these allowances be in future designated & treated as "duty allowances" vide Art 14 A of the F.R. & Cy and may be included in the list of ~~allowances~~ to be submitted for consideration ~~to the Committee~~ (The ~~Committee~~ will do so when ~~they~~ ~~are~~ ~~in~~ ~~session~~ next)

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

SMR 22/8/22 Leg: to Govt. Rev: Dept.

WD 1888—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-22

To

The Comptr. (Ex Fin. Secty)

& Chief Inspector of Mines

Engrs

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT..... ....

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to... .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue..... .....

Signed by . . ..

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Gen

File No. 94-23

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Para (1) of G.O. No. Fl. 411-60/S & A. 7-22-1 dt 18-7-22 fixing the pattern of local allowances to the officers of the Revenue department in view of the specially arduous nature of the duties performed by them

Letter No. 16-07 dt

~~Order Thereon No.~~

21-6-24 from the Chief Inspector of Mines in Mysore suggesting that the local allowances that are being drawn

by the officers of Depts may be converted into "duty allowances" as the work is becoming more and more risky and arduous.

—

Order thereon No

Dated

—— The local allowances ~~hitherto in force~~ of ~~Rs~~ given to the officers of the Dept of Mines ~~local allowances~~ have been ~~hitherto in force~~ are in the nature of ~~Gold fields and other allowances~~ duty allowances but ~~in Quetta~~ the nature of ~~allowances~~ duty is different from that of other allowances in future designated ~~allowances~~) Govt. are pleased duty allowances to declare them as such ("duty allowances") they may be included

List of enclosures

further directed that these cases referred to in the list of may be considered ~~by~~ the cases referred to ~~xxx~~ the ~~xxx~~ Finance Committee ~~xxx~~ Committee ~~xxx~~ when next

1st 6/8 —

SMP 2/8 See draft

Rev 10/6/8

Copied by

Examined by

To

The Comptroller and Chief Inspector of Mines

WD 1653—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-28